

United States Patent and Trademark Office

w

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,631	09/19/2003	Shpak Eran	90648	5078
²⁴⁶²⁸ WELSH & KA	7590 09/25/200 TZ, LTD	EXAMINER		
120 S RIVERS	IDE PLAZA	NGUYEN, TUAN HOANG		
22ND FLOOR CHICAGO, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2618	
		•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/25/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Anntication No.	Applicant(a)				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/664,631	ERAN ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Tuan H. Nguyen	2618				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAY INSIDE OF THE MAILING DAY IN THE M	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Ju	<u>ine 2007</u> .	•				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims		•				
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-47</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
=	Claim(s) <u>1-47</u> is/are rejected.						
• .	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptance	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
,—	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received					
	Certified copies of the priority documents Certified copies of the priority documents		ion No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •					
	application from the International Bureau	-					
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.				
Attachmen	ut(s)						
1) 🛛 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F					
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	and the second s				

DETAILED ACTION

Response To Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see applicant's remarks, filed on 06/28/2007, with respect to the rejection(s) of claims 1-47 under 35 U.S.C § 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Sherman (US PAT. 7.046,690) and Bajic (US PUB. 2003/0227893).

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 04/17/2007, 05/31/2007, 06/21/2007, and 08/03/2007 has been considered by Examiner and made of record in the application file.

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Art Unit: 2618

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 1-47 provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-54 of US PUB. No. 2004/0156399. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because all the limitations, i.e., a method for mobile communication, comprising: arranging a plurality of access points in a wireless local area network (WLAN) to communicate over the air with a mobile station using a common basic service set identification (BSSID) for all the access points; receiving at one or more of the access points an uplink signal transmitted over the WLAN by the mobile station using the common BSSID; sending and receiving messages over a communication medium linking the access points in order to select one of the access points to respond to the uplink signal; and transmitting a response from the selected one of the access points to the mobile station, are transparently found US PUB. No. 2004/0156399.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number: 10/664,631 Page 4

Art Unit: 2618

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-5, 14-17 and 32-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sherman (US PAT. 7,046,690) in view of Bajic (U.S PUB. 2003/0227893).

Consider claim 1, Sherman teaches a method for mobile communication, comprising: arranging a plurality of access points (160-1 to 160-4) in a wireless local area network (WLAN) to communicate over the air with a mobile station (i.e., 160-1) using a common basic service set identification (BSSID) (i.e., stations 160-1 and 160-2 provided in a first basic service set BSS1 having the same basic service, and stations 160-3 and 160-4 provided in a second basic service set BSS2 having the same basic service) for all the access points (fig. 1 col. 3 lines 41-60); and transmitting a response from the selected one of the access points to the mobile station (col. 4 lines 20-34).

Sherman does not explicitly show that receiving at one or more of the access points an uplink signal transmitted over the WLAN by the mobile station using the common BSSID; sending and receiving messages over a communication medium linking the access points in order to select one of the access points to respond to the uplink signal.

In the same field of endeavor, Bajic teaches receiving at one or more of the access points an uplink signal transmitted over the WLAN by the mobile station using the common BSSID (page 3 [0052] and page 9 [0137-0138]); sending and receiving messages over a communication medium linking the access points in order to select one of the access points to respond to the uplink signal (page 10 [0145]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use, receiving at one or more of the access points an uplink signal transmitted over the WLAN by the mobile station using the common BSSID; sending and receiving messages over a communication medium linking the access points in order to select one of the access points to respond to the uplink signal, as taught by Bajic, in order to running two or more instances of a switch MAC sublayer on a switch and managing the two or more instances of the switch MAC sublayer as multiple logical access points inside the switch.

Consider claim 2, Sherman further teaches the access points are configured to communicate with the mobile station over a common frequency channel shared by all the access points (col. 3 lines 46-50).

Consider claims 3, Sherman further teaches the access points have respective service areas, and wherein arranging the plurality of the access points comprises arranging the access points so that the service areas substantially overlap (col. 4 lines 3-19).

Art Unit: 2618

Consider claims 4, Sherman further teaches arranging the plurality of the access points comprises arranging the access points to communicate with the mobile station substantially in accordance with IEEE Standard 802.11 (col. 14 lines 10-22).

Consider claim 5, Sherman further teaches arranging the plurality of the access points comprises assigning a respective medium access control (MAC) address to each of the access points, so that each of the access points ignores uplink data messages that are not addressed to the respective MAC address (col. 3 lines 54-59).

Consider claim 14, Bajic further teaches sending and receiving the messages comprises sending the messages from the access points to a manager node, which processes the messages so as to select the one of the access points to respond to the uplink signal, and sending instructions from the manager node to the selected one of the access points to transmit the response (page 10 [0145]).

Consider claim 15, Sherman teaches a method for mobile communication, comprising: arranging a plurality of access points in a wireless local area network (WLAN) to communicate over the air on a common frequency channel with a mobile station (fig. 1 col. 3 lines 41-60); receiving at two or more of the access points an uplink signal transmitted over the WLAN by the mobile station on the common frequency channel (fig. 1 col. 3 lines 41-60 and col. 4 lines 20-34); and transmitting a response from the selected one of the access points to the mobile station (col. 4 line 20-34).

Sherman does not explicitly show that conveying messages responsively to the uplink signal from the one or more of the access points over a communication medium linking the access points to a manager node; processing the messages at the manager node so as to select one of the access points that received the uplink signal to respond to the uplink signal.

In the same field of endeavor, Bajic teaches conveying messages responsively to the uplink signal from the one or more of the access points over a communication medium linking the access points to a manager node (page 3 [0052] and page 9 [0137-0138]); processing the messages at the manager node so as to select one of the access points that received the uplink signal to respond to the uplink signal (page 10 [0145]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use, conveying messages responsively to the uplink signal from the one or more of the access points over a communication medium linking the access points to a manager node; processing the messages at the manager node so as to select one of the access points that received the uplink signal to respond to the uplink signal, as taught by Bajic, in order to running two or more instances of a switch MAC sublayer on a switch and managing the two or more instances of the switch MAC sublayer as multiple logical access points inside the switch.

Consider claim 32, Sherman teaches apparatus for mobile communication, comprising: a plurality of access points, which are arranged in a wireless local area

Art Unit: 2618

network (WLAN) to communicate over the air on a common frequency channel with a mobile station using a common basic service set identification (BSSID) for all the access points (fig. 1 col. 3 lines 41-60); and which are adapted, upon receiving at one or more of the access points an uplink signal transmitted over the WLAN by the mobile station on the common frequency channel, to convey messages responsively to the uplink signal from the one or more of the access points over a communication medium linking the access points (fig. 1 col. 3 lines 41-60 and col. 4 lines 20-34).

Sherman does not explicitly show that a manager node, linked to the communication medium, which is adapted to process the messages so as to select one of the access points to respond to the uplink signal, and to instruct the selected one of the access points to transmit a response to the mobile station.

In the same field of endeavor, Bajic teaches a manager node, linked to the communication medium, which is adapted to process the messages so as to select one of the access points to respond to the uplink signal (page 9 [0137-0138]), and to instruct the selected one of the access points to transmit a response to the mobile station (page 10 [0145]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use, a manager node, linked to the communication medium, which is adapted to process the messages so as to select one of the access points to respond to the uplink signal, and to instruct the selected one of the access points to transmit a response to the mobile station, as taught by Bajic, in order to running two or more instances of a switch MAC sublayer on a switch and managing the

two or more instances of the switch MAC sublayer as multiple logical access points inside the switch.

Consider claims 16, and 33, Sherman further teaches the access points have respective service areas, and wherein arranging the plurality of the access points comprises arranging the access points so that the service areas substantially overlap (col. 4 lines 3-19).

Consider claims 17, and 34, Sherman further teaches arranging the plurality of the access points comprises arranging the access points to communicate with the mobile station substantially in accordance with IEEE Standard 802.11 (col. 14 lines 10-22).

Consider claim 35, Sherman further teaches arranging the plurality of the access points comprises assigning a respective medium access control (MAC) address to each of the access points, so that each of the access points ignores uplink data messages that are not addressed to the respective MAC address (col. 3 lines 54-59).

7. Claims 6-10, 18-23 and 36-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sherman in view of Bajic and further in view of Honkasalo et al. (U.S PUB. 2003/0210674 hereinafter, "Honkasalo").

Consider claim 6, Sherman and Bajic in combination, fails to teach arranging the plurality of the access points comprises configuring the access points to emulate mobile station communications, so that each of the access points acknowledges the uplink data messages that are addressed from the mobile station to the respective MAC address.

However, Honkasalo teaches arranging the plurality of the access points comprises configuring the access points to emulate mobile station communications, so that each of the access points acknowledges the uplink data messages that are addressed from the mobile station to the respective MAC address (page 3 [0036]).

Therefore, it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the disclosing of Honkasalo into view of Sherman and Bajic, in order to provide the base station of a cellular communication network the ability to preemptively control priority and duration of mobile station access by employing a scheduling method which considers one or more parameters including priority access service, quality of service, and a maximum number of bytes per transmission.

Consider claim 7, Honkasalo further teaches sending and receiving the messages comprises reconfiguring the selected one of the access points temporarily to stop emulating the mobile station communications, so as to transmit an acknowledgment to a management frame transmitted by the mobile station (page 2 [0017]).

Consider claim 8, Honkasalo further teaches sending and receiving the messages comprises changing the respective MAC address of the selected one of the access points temporarily, so as to cause the selected one of the access points to transmit an acknowledgment to a management frame transmitted by the mobile station (page 3 [0038]).

Consider claim 9, Honkasalo further teaches transmitting the response comprises instructing the mobile station to transmit all the uplink data messages to the respective MAC address of the selected one of the access points (page 6 [0057] and [0058]).

Consider claim 10, Sherman further teaches instructing the mobile station comprises sending an Address Resolution Protocol (ARP) response to the mobile station (col. 4 lines 10-14).

Consider claims 18 and 36, Honkasalo further teaches arranging the plurality of the access points comprises assigning a respective medium access control (MAC) address to each of the access points, so that each of the access points acknowledges uplink data messages addressed from the mobile station to the respective MAC address (page 3 [0036]).

Art Unit: 2618

Consider claim 19, Sherman further teaches arranging the plurality of the access points comprises assigning a respective medium access control (MAC) address to each of the access points, so that each of the access points ignores uplink data messages that are not addressed to the respective MAC address (page 3 [0037]).

Consider claims 20 and 37, Honkasalo further teaches sending and receiving the messages comprises reconfiguring the selected one of the access points temporarily to stop emulating the mobile station communications, so as to transmit an acknowledgment to a management frame transmitted by the mobile station (page 2 [0017]).

Consider claims 21 and 38, Honkasalo further teaches sending and receiving the messages comprises changing the respective MAC address of the selected one of the access points temporarily, so as to cause the selected one of the access points to transmit an acknowledgment to a management frame transmitted by the mobile station (page 3 [0038]).

Consider claims 22 and 39, Honkasalo further teaches transmitting the response comprises instructing the mobile station to transmit all the uplink data messages to the respective MAC address of the selected one of the access points (page 6 [0057] and [0058]).

Consider claims 23 and 40, Sherman further teaches instructing the mobile station comprises sending an Address Resolution Protocol (ARP) response to the mobile station (col. 4 lines 10-14).

8. Claims 11, 24 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sherman in view of Bajic and Honkasalo, and further in view of Chari et al. (U.S PAT. 7,016,328 hereinafter, "Chari").

Consider claim 11, Sherman, Bajic and Honkasalo in combination, fails to teaches subsequently to transmitting the response from the selected one of the access points, and responsively to a further uplink signal received from the mobile station, selecting a further one of the access points to communicate with the mobile station, and sending a spoofed ARP response to the mobile station instructing the mobile station to transmit all further uplink data messages to the respective MAC address of the further one of the access points.

However, Chari teaches subsequently to transmitting the response from the selected one of the access points, and responsively to a further uplink signal received from the mobile station, selecting a further one of the access points to communicate with the mobile station, and sending a spoofed ARP response to the mobile station instructing the mobile station to transmit all further uplink data messages to the respective MAC address of the further one of the access points (col. 14 lines 55-62).

Art Unit: 2618

Therefore, it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the disclosing of Chari into view of Sherman, Bajic and Honkasalo, in order to provide the wireless mesh network that allows wireless handoffs of a client between access nodes of the mesh network and does not require the client to include special hardware or software.

Consider claims 24 and 41, Chari further teaches subsequently to transmitting the response from the selected one of the access points, and responsively to a further uplink signal received from the mobile station, selecting a further one of the access points to communicate with the mobile station, and sending a spoofed ARP response to the mobile station instructing the mobile station to transmit all further uplink data messages to the respective MAC address of the further one of the access points (col. 14 lines 55-62).

9. Claims 12-13, 25-28 and 42-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sherman in view of Bajic and further in view of Melpignano et al. (U.S PUB. 2003/0003912 hereinafter, "Melpignano").

Consider claim 12, Sherman and Bajic in combination, fails to teach receiving the uplink signal comprises measuring a strength of the uplink signal at each of the one or more of the access points, and wherein sending and receiving the messages comprises indicating in the messages the measured strength of the uplink signal, and selecting the

one of the access points to respond to the uplink signal responsively to the strength indicated in the messages.

However, Melpignano teaches receiving the uplink signal comprises measuring a strength of the uplink signal at each of the one or more of the access points, and wherein sending and receiving the messages comprises indicating in the messages the measured strength of the uplink signal, and selecting the one of the access points to respond to the uplink signal responsively to the strength indicated in the messages (page 1 [0009]).

Therefore, it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the disclosing of Melpignano into view of Sherman and Bajic, in order to provide a technique for deriving and distributing information about network topology in such an arrangement and provides communication units for use in the arrangement.

Consider claim 13, Melpignano further teaches measuring the strength comprises measuring the strength repeatedly in response to subsequent uplink signals transmitted by the mobile station, and wherein selecting the one of the access points comprises selecting a different one of the access points to respond to the subsequent uplink signals, responsively to a change in the measured strength (page 7 [0097]).

Consider claims 25 and 42, Melpignano further teaches receiving the uplink signal comprises measuring a strength of the uplink signal at each of the one or more of

/Control (4d)()bc): 10/004,00

Art Unit: 2618

the access points, and wherein sending and receiving the messages comprises indicating in the messages the measured strength of the uplink signal, and selecting the one of the access points to respond to the uplink signal responsively to the strength indicated in the messages (page 1 [0009]).

Consider claims 26, and 43, Melpignano further teaches measuring the strength comprises measuring the strength repeatedly in response to subsequent uplink signals transmitted by the mobile station, and wherein selecting the one of the access points comprises selecting a different one of the access points to respond to the subsequent uplink signals, responsively to a change in the measured strength (page 7 [0097]).

Consider claim 27, Melpignano further teaches sending and receiving the messages comprises sending the messages from arranging the plurality of the access points comprises assigning all the access points to the same basic service set (BSS) (page 8 [0109]).

Consider claims 28 and 44, Melpignano further teaches sending and receiving the messages comprises sending the messages from the manager node comprises a plurality of management processors (pages 6-7 [0094] through [0098], page 8 [0111] through [0115]).

10. Claims 29-31 and 45-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sherman in view of Bajic and Melpignano and further in view of Honkasalo.

Consider claims 29 and 45, Sherman, Bajic and Melpignano, in combination, fails to teaches the plurality of management processors comprises a control processor and a packet processor, and wherein processing the messages comprises selecting the one of the access points to respond to the uplink signal using the control processor, and further comprises processing uplink data packets received by the selected one of the access points using the packet processor.

However, Honkasalo teaches the plurality of management processors comprises a control processor and a packet processor, and wherein processing the messages comprises selecting the one of the access points to respond to the uplink signal using the control processor, and further comprises processing uplink data packets received by the selected one of the access points using the packet processor (page 3 [0033]).

Therefore, it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the disclosing of Honkasalo into view of Sherman, Bajic and Melpignano, in order to provide the base station of a cellular communication network the ability to preemptively control priority and duration of mobile station access by employing a scheduling method which considers one or more parameters including priority access service, quality of service, and a maximum number of bytes per transmission.

Art Unit: 2618

Consider claims 30, and 46, Honkasalo further teaches processing the uplink data packets comprises decrypting the uplink data packets and encrypting downlink data packets at the packet processor, for transmission by the selected one of the access points (page 5 [0049]).

Consider claims 31, and 47, Honkasalo further teaches processing the messages comprises distributing the messages for processing among the plurality of the management processors (page 3 [0031]).

Conclusion

11	Any respo	naa ta thi	action	chould	ha	mailed	to:
11.	Any respo	กร่อ เด เกเ	s action	Snoula	be I	maneu	w.

Mail Stop_____ (Explanation, e.g., Amendment or After-final, etc.)

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Facsimile responses should be faxed to:

(571) 273-8300

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Customer Service Window

Randolph Building

Application/Control Number: 10/664,631 Page 19

Art Unit: 2618

401 Dulany Street

Alexandria, VA 22313

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan H. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571)272-8329. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00Am - 5:00Pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Maung Nay A. can be reached on (571)272-7882882. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information Consider the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tuan Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 2618

NAY MAUNG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER